arXiv:1609.01197v1 [math.NT] 5 Sep 2016

Interpolation of q-analogue of multiple zeta and zeta-star values

Noriko Wakabayashi*

Abstract

We know at least two ways to generalize multiple zeta(-star) values, or MZ(S)Vs for short, which are q-analogue and t-interpolation. The q-analogue of MZ(S)Vs, or qMZ(S)Vs for short, was introduced by Bradley, Okuda and Takeyama, Zhao, etc. On the other hand, the polynomials interpolating MZVs and MZSVs using a parameter t were introduced by Yamamoto. We call these t-MZVs.

In this paper, we consider such two generalizations simultaneously, that is, we compose polynomials, called t-qMZVs, interpolating qMZVs and qMZSVs using a parameter t which are reduced to qMZVs as $t = 0$, to qMZSVs as $t = 1$, and to t-MZVs as $q \to 1$. Then we prove Kawashima type relation, cyclic sum formula and Hoffman type relation for t-qMZVs.

1 Introduction

For a formal parameter q and an index $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l)$ of positive integers with $k_1 \geq 2$, q-analogues of multiple zeta and zeta-star values (qMZVs and qMZSVs, respectively, for short) are defined by

$$\zeta_q(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) = \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_l \geq 1} \frac{q^{(k_1-1)m_1+(k_2-1)m_2+\cdots+(k_l-1)m_l}}{[m_1]^{k_1}[m_2]^{k_2}\cdots[m_l]^{k_l}} \ (\in \mathbb{Q}[[q]]),$$

$$\zeta^{\star}_q(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) = \sum_{m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_l \geq 1} \frac{q^{(k_1-1)m_1+(k_2-1)m_2+\cdots+(k_l-1)m_l}}{[m_1]^{k_1}[m_2]^{k_2}\cdots[m_l]^{k_l}} \ (\in \mathbb{Q}[[q]]),$$

where $[n]$ denotes the q-integer $[n] = \dfrac{1-q^n}{1-q}$. We often call $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_l$ (resp. l) the weight (resp. the depth) of the index $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l)$ or of corresponding zeta values. In the case of $l = 1$, qMZVs and qMZSVs coincide and are reduced to

$$\zeta_q(k) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{q^{(k-1)m}}{[m]^k}.$$

*College of Science and Engineering, Ritsumeikan University, 1-1-1, Nojihigashi, Kusatsu-city, Shiga 525-8577, Japan
E-mail address : noriko-w@fc.ritsumei.ac.jp

If $q \in \mathbb{C}$, qMZVs and qMZSVs are absolutely convergent in $|q| < 1$. Taking the limit as $q \to 1$, qMZ(S)Vs turn into ordinary MZ(S)Vs given by

$$\zeta(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) = \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_l \geq 1} \frac{1}{{m_1}^{k_1} {m_2}^{k_2} \cdots {m_l}^{k_l}} \ (\in \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\zeta^\star(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) = \sum_{m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_l \geq 1} \frac{1}{{m_1}^{k_1} {m_2}^{k_2} \cdots {m_l}^{k_l}} \ (\in \mathbb{R}).$$

The qMZ(S)Vs were investigated for example in Bradley[1], Okuda and Takeyama[4], Zhao[10].

On the other hand, in [8], Yamamoto introduced the interpolation polynomial of MZVs (t-MZVs for short) given by

$$\zeta^t(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \zeta(\mathfrak{p}) t^{l - \mathrm{dep}(\mathfrak{p})} \ (\in \mathbb{R}[t]),$$

where $\mathrm{dep}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the depth of index $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}$ stands for the sum where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over all indices of the form $\mathfrak{p} = (k_1 \ \square \ \cdots \ \square \ k_l)$ in which each $\square$ is filled by two candidates: the comma "," or the plus "+".

We consider such two generalizations of MZ(S)Vs simultaneously.

Definition 1 (Interpolated q-analogue of multiple zeta values (t-qMZVs))**.** *For positive integers $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l$ with $k_1 \geq 2$, parameters t and q, we define t-qMZVs by*

$$\zeta_q^t(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) = {\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}}' (1-q)^{k - \mathrm{wt}(\mathfrak{p})} \zeta_q(\mathfrak{p}) t^{l - \mathrm{dep}(\mathfrak{p})} \ (\in \mathbb{Q}[[q]][t]),$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_l$, $\mathrm{wt}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the weight of index $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\sum'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ stands for the sum where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over all indices of the form $\mathfrak{p} = (k_1 \ \square \ \cdots \ \square \ k_l)$ in which each $\square$ is filled by three candidates: ",", "+" or "$-1+$" (minus 1 plus).

If $q \in \mathbb{C}$, t-qMZVs are absolutely convergent in $|q| < 1$. Taking the limit as $q \to 1$, t-qMZVs turn into t-MZVs. We notice that $\zeta_q^0 = \zeta_q$, $\zeta_q^1 = \zeta_q^\star$ and $\zeta_q^t(k) = \zeta_q(k)$ $(k \geq 2)$.

In §2, we show the following Kawashima type relation for t-qMZVs under the appropriate algebraic setup (see §2.1 for details).

Theorem 2. *For any $m \geq 1$ and any $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t} y$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j \geq 1}} Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(v) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (-tx + y - \hbar t)^{i-1} y\big) Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(w) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (-tx + y - \hbar t)^{j-1} y\big)$$

$$= -Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(S_\hbar^t(v) * S_\hbar^t(w)) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (-tx + y - \hbar t)^{m-1} y\big).$$

Taking the limit as $q \to 1$, this formula is reduced to Kawashima type relation for t-MZVs proved in [7]. If $t = 0$ (resp. $t = 1$), this formula is reduced to Kawashima type relation for qMZVs (resp. qMZSVs) proved in [5].

As an application of Theorem 2, the following identity called cyclic sum formula for t-qMZVs is proved in §3.

Theorem 3. *Let $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l$ be positive integers with $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) \neq (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and put $k = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_l$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} \zeta_q^t(k_i - j, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_l, k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, j+1) \\
&= (1-t) \sum_{i=1}^{l} \zeta_q^t(k_i + 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_l, k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}) \\
&\quad + t^l \sum_{i=0}^{l} (k-i)(1-q)^i \binom{l}{i} \zeta_q^t(k-i+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $q \to 1$, this formula is reduced to cyclic sum formula for t-MZVs proved in [7, 8]. If $t = 0$ (resp. $t = 1$), this formula is reduced to cyclic sum formula for qMZVs (resp. qMZSVs) proved in [1] (resp. [3]).

As another easier application of Theorem 2, we state Hoffman type relation for t-qMZVs in §4.

2 Kawashima type relation for t-qMZVs

2.1 Algebraic setup

Let $\hbar$ be a formal variable. Denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t} = \mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]\langle x, y\rangle$ the non-commutative polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$ in two indeterminates x and y, and by $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^0_{\hbar,t}$ its subalgebras $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t] + \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$ and $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t] + x\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$, respectively. Put $z_j = x^{j-1}y$ $(j \geq 1)$. We define the weight and the depth of a word $u = z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_l}$ by $\mathrm{wt}(u) = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_l$ and $\mathrm{dep}(u) = l$, respectively.

- Define the $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$-linear map $\widehat{Z}^t_q : \mathfrak{H}^0_{\hbar,t} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t][[q]]$ by $\widehat{Z}^t_q(1) = 1$ and

  $$\widehat{Z}^t_q(z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_l}) = \zeta^t_q(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) \quad (k_1 \geq 2).$$

  We also define the substitution map $f : \mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t][[q]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[t][[q]]$ by $f : \hbar \longmapsto 1 - q$ and set

  $$Z^t_q = f \circ \widehat{Z}^t_q.$$

- Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$-submodule of $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$ generated by $A := \{z_j | j \geq 1\}$. We give the product $\circ_+ : \mathfrak{z} \times \mathfrak{z} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{z}$ characterized by $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$-bilinearity and

  $$z_i \circ_+ z_j = z_{i+j} + \hbar z_{i+j-1} \quad (i,\ j \geq 1).$$

  The product $\circ_+$ determines $\mathfrak{z}$-module structure on $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$ by

  $$z_i \circ_+ 1 = 0,\ z_i \circ_+ (z_j w) = (z_i \circ_+ z_j) w \quad (w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}).$$

- The $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$-linear map $S^t_\hbar : \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$ is defined by $S^t_\hbar(1) = 1$ and

  $$S^t_\hbar(aw) = a S^t_\hbar(w) + ta \circ_+ S^t_\hbar(w),$$

  where $a \in A$ and $w (\in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t})$ is a word.

We prove the following lemma immediately by the definition of $\circ_+$ and $S^t_\hbar$.

Lemma 4. *For $a \in \mathfrak{z}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$, we have*

(i) $S^t_\hbar(a \circ_+ w) = a \circ_+ S^t_\hbar(w)$,

(ii) $S^t_\hbar(wy) = \gamma^t_\hbar(w)y$,

where $\gamma^t_\hbar$ denotes the automorphism on $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$ characterized by

$$\gamma^t_\hbar(x) = x,\ \gamma^t_\hbar(y) = tx + y + \hbar t.$$

By the definition of $S^t_\hbar$ or Lemma 4 (ii), we find that

$$Z^t_q = Z^0_q S^t_\hbar, \tag{1}$$

where $Z^0_q(w) := Z^t_q(w)|_{t=0}$ $(w \in \mathfrak{H}^0_{\hbar,t})$.

- We let

$$\varphi^t_\hbar = -(S^t_\hbar)^{-1}\varphi S^t_\hbar, \tag{2}$$

  where φ denotes the automorphism on $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$ characterized by $\varphi(x) = x+y$, $\varphi(y) = -y$.

- The harmonic product $*_+$ on $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$ is defined by the $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar,t]$-bilinearity and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 *_+ w = w *_+ 1 = w,\\ z_iu *_+ z_jv = z_i(u *_+ z_jv) + z_j(z_iu *_+ v) + (z_i \circ_+ z_j)(u *_+ v) \end{aligned}$$

  for $i,j \geq 1$ and words $u,v,w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$.

Lemma 5. *(i) For any $k,l \geq 1$, $v \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$, $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$, we have*

$$\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ z_lw = \gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)(v *_+ z_lw) + z_l\big(\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ w\big) + (1-t)(z_k \circ_+ z_l)(v *_+ w).$$

(ii) For any $k,l \geq 1$, $v,w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$, we have

$$S^t_\hbar(z_kv)*_+z_lw = \gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)\big(S^t_\hbar(v)*_+z_lw\big)+z_l\big(S^t_\hbar(z_kv)*_+w\big)+(1-t)(z_k\circ_+z_l)\big(S^t_\hbar(v)*_+w\big).$$

(iii) For any $k \geq 0$, $l \geq 1$, $v = z_pV$ $(p \geq 1, V \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t})$ and $w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$,

$$x^kv *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w = z_{k+p}\big(V *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) + \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)(x^kv *_+ w) + (1-t)(z_{k+p} \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w).$$

proof. We show (i) first. It is sufficient to show the case of $v = z_pV$ $(p \geq 1, V \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t})$. Subtracting two identities

$$\begin{aligned} x^kv *_+ z_lw &= z_{k+p}V *_+ z_lw\\ &= z_{k+p}(V *_+ z_lw) + z_l(z_{k+p}V *_+ w) + (z_{k+p} \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x^k(v *_+ z_l w) = x^k z_p(V *_+ z_l w) + x^k z_l(z_p V *_+ w) + x^k(z_p \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w),$$

we have

$$x^k v *_+ z_l w = x^k(v *_+ z_l w) + z_l(x^k v *_+ w) - z_{k+l}(v *_+ w). \tag{3}$$

Note that (3) is valid even if $k = 0$. On the other hand, we find by definition that

$$z_k v *_+ z_l w = z_k(v *_+ z_l w) + z_l(z_k v *_+ w) + (z_k \circ_+ z_l)(v *_+ w).$$

Adding $t\times$(3) and $\hbar t\times$((3) for $k \mapsto k-1$) to this identity, we conclude (i).

By replacing v with $S_\hbar^t(v)$ in (i) and using Lemma 4 (ii), we obtain (ii) for $v \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$. If $v = 1$, we have (ii) easily by the rule of the harmonic product $*_+$.

The identity (iii) is proved as well as the proof of (i). For $v = z_p V, w = z_r W$ ($p, r \geq 1, V, W \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$), subtracting two identities

$$x^k v *_+ x^l w = z_{k+p}(V *_+ z_{l+r} W) + z_{l+r}(z_{k+p} V *_+ W) + (z_{k+p} \circ_+ z_{l+r})(V *_+ W),$$

and

$$x^l(x^k v *_+ w) = x^l z_{k+p}(V *_+ z_r W) + x^l z_r(z_{k+p} V *_+ W) + x^l(z_{k+p} \circ_+ z_r)(V *_+ W),$$

we have

$$x^k v *_+ x^l w = z_{k+p}(V *_+ z_{l+r} W) + x^l(x^k v *_+ w) - z_{k+p+l}(V *_+ w). \tag{4}$$

Note that (4) is valid even if $l = 0$. On the other hand, we find by definition that

$$x^k v *_+ z_l w = z_{k+p}(V *_+ z_l w) + z_l(x^k v *_+ w) + (z_{k+p} \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w).$$

Adding $t\times$(4) and $\hbar t\times$((4) for $l \mapsto l-1$) to this identity, we conclude (iii). □

- The product $\circledast_\hbar$ on $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$ is defined by

$$z_i u \circledast_\hbar z_j v = z_{i+j}(u *_+ v)$$

for $i, j \geq 1$, $u, v \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$. We define the product $\overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar$ on $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$ by

$$u \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar v = (S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(S_\hbar^t(u) \circledast_\hbar S_\hbar^t(v)) \quad (u, v \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y). \tag{5}$$

Definition 6. *We define the $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$-bilinear product $\overset{t}{*}_\hbar$ on $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$ by the recursive rule*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \overset{t}{*}_\hbar w &= w \overset{t}{*}_\hbar 1 = w, \\ z_i u \overset{t}{*}_\hbar z_j v &= z_i(u \overset{t}{*}_\hbar z_j v) + z_j(z_i u \overset{t}{*}_\hbar v) + (1-2t)(z_i \circ_+ z_j)(u \overset{t}{*}_\hbar v) \\ &\quad + (t^2 - t) z_i \circ_+ z_j \circ_+ (u \overset{t}{*}_\hbar v) \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j \geq 1$ and words $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$.

This product is commutative and associative and can be viewed as a generalization of the products $\overset{t}{*}$ in [8] and $*_+$ as above or in [5].

Proposition 7. *For $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\hbar,t}$, we have*

$$v \overset{t}{*}_\hbar w = (S^t_\hbar)^{-1}(S^t_\hbar(v) *_+ S^t_\hbar(w)).$$

proof. From Lemma 5 (i), we have

$$z_k v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w = z_k\big(v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) + \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)(z_k v *_+ w) + (1-t)(z_k \circ_+ z_l)(v *_+ w)$$

for $k, l \geq 1$. Adding $t\times$(Lemma 5 (iii)) and $\hbar t\times$(Lemma 5 (iii) for $k \mapsto k-1$) to this identity, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w \\
&\quad = tx^k z_p\big(V *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) + \hbar t x^{k-1} z_p\big(V *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) + z_k\big(v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) \\
&\qquad + \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)\big(\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ w\big) + t(1-t)(z_{k+p} \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w) \\
&\qquad + \hbar t(1-t)(z_{k+p-1} \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w) + (1-t)(z_k \circ_+ z_l)(v *_+ w) \\
&\quad = \gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)\big(v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) + \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)\big(\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ w\big) \\
&\qquad - t(x^k + \hbar x^{k-1})\Big(v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w - z_p\big(V *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) - (1-t)(z_p \circ_+ z_l)(V *_+ w)\Big) \\
&\qquad + (1-t)(z_k \circ_+ z_l)(v *_+ w).
\end{aligned}$$

Then using Lemma 5 (iii) for $k = 0$, it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w = \gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)\big(v *_+ \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)w\big) + \gamma^t_\hbar(z_l)\big(\gamma^t_\hbar(z_k)v *_+ w\big) \\
+ \big(-t(x^k + \hbar x^{k-1})\gamma^t_\hbar(z_l) + (1-t)(z_k \circ_+ z_l)\big)(v *_+ w) \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

holds. Put $S^t_\hbar(v)$ and $S^t_\hbar(w)$ instead of v and w in (6) respectively and apply $(S^t_\hbar)^{-1}$ to both sides. Then thanks to the recursive rule of $\overset{t}{*}_\hbar$, property for $\circ_+$, and Lemma 4 (ii), induction on total depth works to establish Proposition 7. □

Proposition 8 (harmonic product formula for t-qMZVs)**.** *We find that the map Z^t_q is a homomorphism with respect to the harmonic product $\overset{t}{*}_\hbar$, i.e.,*

$$Z^t_q(v \overset{t}{*}_\hbar w) = Z^t_q(v)Z^t_q(w)$$

for $u, v \in \mathfrak{H}^0_{\hbar,t}$.

proof. Because of (1), Proposition 7 and the fact that the map Z^0_q is a homomorphism respect to the harmonic product $*_+$ (see [5] for example), we have

$$Z^t_q(v \overset{t}{*}_\hbar w) = Z^0_q S^t_\hbar(v \overset{t}{*}_\hbar w) = Z^0_q(S^t_\hbar(v) *_+ S^t_\hbar(w)) = Z^0_q(S^t_\hbar(v))Z^0_q(S^t_\hbar(w)) = Z^t_q(v)Z^t_q(w).$$

□

2.2 Proof of Theorem 2

When $t = 0$, due to [5, Theorem 4.6], we have

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 1}} Z_q^0(\varphi(v) \circledast_\hbar y^i) Z_q^0(\varphi(w) \circledast_\hbar y^j) = Z_q^0(\varphi(v * w) \circledast_\hbar y^m) \tag{7}$$

for any positive integer m and any $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y$. Here, $*$ is the harmonic product for MZVs which is firstly introduced in [2] (however its coefficient ring is extended to $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar, t]$). By (1), (2) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS of (7)} &= \sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 1}} Z_q^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}\big(S_\hbar^t\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(v) \circledast_\hbar y^i\big) Z_q^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}\big(S_\hbar^t\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(w) \circledast_\hbar y^j\big) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 1}} Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(v) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(y^i)\big) Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(w) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(y^j)\big) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 1}} Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(v) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (-tx + y - \hbar t)^{i-1}y\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times Z_q^t\big(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(w) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (-tx + y - \hbar t)^{j-1}y\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (7)} &= -Z_q^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(S_\hbar^t\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(v * w) \circledast_\hbar y^m) \\
&= -Z_q^t(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(v * w) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(y^m)) \\
&= -Z_q^t(\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(v * w) \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar (-tx + y - \hbar t)^{m-1}y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain Theorem 2.

Remark 9. *By setting $t = 1$ in Theorem 2, we have Kawashima type relation for qMZSVs. Taking the limit as $q \to 1$, we have Kawashima type relation for t-MZVs:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 1}} Z^t(\varphi^t(v) \overset{t}{\circledast} (-tx + y)^{i-1}y) Z^t(\varphi^t(w) \overset{t}{\circledast} (-tx + y)^{j-1}y) \\
&\qquad\qquad = -Z^t(\varphi^t(v \overset{t}{*} w) \overset{t}{\circledast} (-tx + y)^{m-1}y)
\end{aligned}$$

for any positive integer m and any $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}_t y$. Here $Z^t, \varphi^t, \overset{t}{\circledast}, \overset{t}{*}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_t$ are regarded as each of $Z_q^t, \varphi_\hbar^t, \overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar, \overset{t}{*}_\hbar$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$ by assuming $\hbar = 0$ and $q \to 1$. This is established in [7].

3 Cyclic sum formula for t-qMZVs

3.1 Algebraic setup

Let n be a positive integer. We denote the $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$-bimodule structure on ${\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ by "$\diamond$" defined by

$$a \diamond (w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \otimes w_{n+1}) = w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \otimes a w_{n+1},$$
$$(w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \otimes w_{n+1}) \diamond b = w_1 b \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \otimes w_{n+1}$$

for $a, b, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$. For a positive integer n, we define the $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar,t]$-linear map $\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t} : \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t} \longrightarrow {\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ by

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(x) = -\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(y) = x \otimes ((1-t)x + y - \hbar t)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes y$$

and Leibniz rule

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(vw) = \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(v) \diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(w) + (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(v) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(w)$$

for any $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(1) = 0$. Let $M_n : \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(n+1)}_{\hbar,t} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$ denotes the multiplication map, i.e.,

$$M_n(w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \otimes w_{n+1}) = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n w_{n+1}.$$

We put $\rho^{(\hbar)}_{n,t} = M_n \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}$.

Lemma 10. $\rho^{(\hbar)}_{n,0} = S^t_\hbar \rho^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}$.

proof. Because of the linearity, it is enough to calculate $\mathcal{C}^{\hbar}_{n,t}(w)$ for $w = z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_l} x^m$ ($l \geq 0$, $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$). By definition of $\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i - 1} (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1} y x^{j-1}) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(x) \diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_i - j - 1} y x^{k_{i+1}-1} y \cdots x^{k_l - 1} y x^m) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1} y x^{k_i-1}) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(y) \diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_{i+1}-1} y \cdots x^{k_l-1} y x^m) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_l-1} y x^{j-1}) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{n,t}(x) \diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{m-j}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i - 1} x \cdot (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_i - j - 1} y x^{k_{i+1}-1} y \cdots x^{k_l-1} y x^m) \\
&\qquad \otimes ((1-t)x + y - \hbar t)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1} y x^{j-1}) y \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{l} x \cdot (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_{i+1}-1} y \cdots x^{k_l-1} y x^m) \\
&\qquad \otimes ((1-t)x + y - \hbar t)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1} y x^{k_i-1}) y \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x \cdot (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{m-j}) \otimes ((1-t)x + y - \hbar t)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_l-1} y x^{j-1}) y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_\hbar^t\rho_{n,t}^{(\hbar)}(w) &= S_\hbar^t M_n \mathcal{C}_{n,t}^\hbar(w) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} \gamma_\hbar^t(x)\cdot x^{k_i-j-1}yx^{k_{i+1}-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}yx^m\cdot\{\gamma_\hbar^t((1-t)x+y-\hbar t)\}^{n-1} \\
&\quad \times x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1}yx^{j-1}y \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{l}\gamma_\hbar^t(x)\cdot x^{k_{i+1}-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}yx^m\cdot\{\gamma_\hbar^t((1-t)x+y-\hbar t)\}^{n-1}\cdot x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_i-1}y \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\gamma_\hbar^t(x)\cdot x^{m-j}\cdot\{\gamma_\hbar^t((1-t)x+y-\hbar t)\}^{n-1}\cdot x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}yx^{j-1}y \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} x^{k_i-j}yx^{k_{i+1}-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}yx^m(x+y)^{n-1}x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1}yx^{j-1}y \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{l} x\cdot x^{k_{i+1}-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}yx^m(x+y)^{n-1}x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_i-1}y \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m} x^{m-j+1}(x+y)^{n-1}x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}yx^{j-1}y \\
&= M_n\mathcal{C}_{n,0}^{(\hbar)}(w) = \rho_{n,0}^{(\hbar)}(w).
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof. □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3

First we prove the next two propositions.

Proposition 11. *$\rho_{n,t}^{(\hbar)}(\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{\hbar,t}^1)\subset\ker Z_q^t$, where $\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{\hbar,t}^1$ denotes the subvector space of $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}^1$ generated by words of $\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}^1$ expect for powers of y.*

proof. According to [6, Proposition 2.5], we have

$$\rho_{n,0}^{(\hbar)}(\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{\hbar,t}^1)\subset L_x\varphi(\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y*\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y), \tag{8}$$

where L_x is the left multiplication by x defined by $L_x(w)=xw$ for any $w\in\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$. By (8) and Lemma 10, we have

$$\rho_{n,t}^{(\hbar)}(\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{\hbar,t}^1)=(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}\rho_{n,0}^{(\hbar)}(\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{\hbar,t}^1)\subset(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(L_x\varphi(\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y*\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y)).$$

Also we find that

$$S_\hbar^tL_x=L_xS_\hbar^t \tag{9}$$

by definition of L_x and $S_\hbar^t$. By (2) and (9), we obtain

$$\rho_{n,t}^{(\hbar)}(\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{\hbar,t}^1)\subset L_x\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y*\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y)=\varphi_\hbar^t(S_\hbar^t)^{-1}(\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y*\mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}y)\overset{t}{\circledast}_\hbar y.$$

Therefore we conclude the proposition because of Theorem 2 for the case of $m=1$. □

Proposition 12. *For cyclically equivalent words $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$, we have $\rho^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(v) = \rho^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(w)$.*

proof. Let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l \in \{x, y\}$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(u) = 1$ or -1 according to $u = x$ or y. Because of

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(u) = \mathrm{sgn}(u)(x \otimes y)$$

for $u \in \{x, y\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(u_1u_2\cdots u_l) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(u_1\cdots u_{i-1}) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(u_i) \diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(u_{i+1}\cdots u_l) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{sgn}(u_i) x \cdot (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(u_{i+1}\cdots u_l) \otimes (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(u_1\cdots u_{i-1}) \cdot y,\end{aligned}$$

where we assume $u_1 \cdots u_{i-1} = 1$ if $i = 1$ and $u_{i+1} \cdots u_l = 1$ if $i = l$. Therefore we obtain

$$\rho^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(u_1u_2\cdots u_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{sgn}(u_i) x \cdot (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(u_{i+1}\cdots u_l u_1 \cdots u_{i-1}) \cdot y.$$

Since the right-hand side does not change under the cyclic permutations of $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\}$, we conclude the proposition. □

Now we prove Theorem 3. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(\gamma^t_\hbar(z_{k_1}z_{k_2}\cdots z_{k_l})) \\ &\quad= \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(x^{k_1-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)\cdots x^{k_l-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)) \\ &\quad= \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)\cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)x^j) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(x) \\ &\qquad\diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_i-j-2}(tx+y+\hbar t)x^{k_{i+1}-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)\cdots x^{k_l-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)) \\ &\qquad+ \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_1-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)\cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)x^{k_i-1}) \diamond \mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(tx+y+\hbar t) \\ &\qquad\diamond (\gamma^t_\hbar)^{-1}(x^{k_{i+1}-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)\cdots x^{k_l-1}(tx+y+\hbar t)) \\ &\quad= \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} x^{k_i-j-1}yx^{k_{i+1}-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}y \otimes x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_{i-1}-1}yx^jy \\ &\qquad+ (t-1)\sum_{i=1}^{l} x\cdot x^{k_{i+1}-1}y\cdots x^{k_l-1}y \otimes x^{k_1-1}y\cdots x^{k_i-1}y\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}\big(x^{k-l}(x+\hbar)^l\big) = \sum_{i=0}^{l}\binom{l}{i}\hbar^i \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} x^{k-i-j+1} \otimes x^{j-1}y,$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_l$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{(\hbar)}_{1,t}(\gamma^t_\hbar(z_{k_1}z_{k_2}\cdots z_{k_l}) - t^l x^{k-l}(x+\hbar)^l) = &\sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} z_{k_i-j}z_{k_{i+1}}\cdots z_{k_l}z_{k_1}\cdots z_{k_{i-1}}z_{j+1}\\ &-(1-t)\sum_{i=1}^{l} z_{k_i+1}z_{k_{i+1}}\cdots z_{k_l}z_{k_1}\cdots z_{k_{i-1}}\\ &-t^l\sum_{i=0}^{l}(k-i)\binom{l}{i}\hbar^i z_{k-i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l) \neq (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, each term of $\gamma^t_\hbar(z_{k_1}z_{k_2}\cdots z_{k_l}) - t^l x^{k-l}(x+\hbar)^l$ modulo cyclic permutation can be regarded as an element in $\check{\mathfrak{H}}^1_{\hbar,t}$. Therefore we obtain Theorem 3 by Proposition 11 and 12.

4 Hoffman type relation for t-qMZVs

Finally, as another application of Theorem 2 for $m = 1$, we show that Theorem 2 includes the following Hoffman type relation for t-qMZVs.

Theorem 13. *For positive integers $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l$ with $k_1 \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2}\zeta^t_q(k_1,\ldots,k_{i-1},k_i-j,j+1,k_{i+1},\ldots,k_l)\\ &=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\{1+(k_i-2+\delta_{i,l})t\}\zeta^t_q(k_1,\ldots,k_{i-1},k_i+1,k_{i+1},\ldots,k_l)\\ &\quad+t(t-1)\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\zeta^t_q(k_1,\ldots,k_{i-1},k_i+k_{i+1}+1,k_{i+2},\ldots,k_l)\\ &\quad+(1-q)\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\{t(k_i-1)\zeta^t_q(k_1,\ldots,k_l)+t(t-1)\zeta^t_q(k_1,\ldots,k_{i-1},k_i+k_{i+1},k_{i+2},\ldots,k_l)\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{i,l}$ stands for Kronecker's delta.

proof. Let $\partial_1 : \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\hbar,t}$ denotes the derivation determined by

$$\partial_1(x) = -\partial_1(y) = xy.$$

Calculate

$$(S^t_\hbar)^{-1}\partial_1 S^t_\hbar(z_{k_1}\cdots z_{k_l}) \quad (k_1 \geq 2),$$

which is known to be an element in $\ker Z^t_q$ because of (1), $S^t_\hbar(z_{k_1}\cdots z_{k_l}) \in \mathfrak{H}^0_{\hbar,t}$ and $\partial_1(\mathfrak{H}^0_{\hbar,t}) \subset \ker Z_q$ (see [1] for the last one), and we obtain the theorem. □

We notice that when $t = 0$ this theorem is reduced to Hoffman type relation for qMZVs proved in [1]. Taking the limit as $q \to 1$, we have Hoffman type relation for t-MZVs proved in [9] by another method using the double shuffle product structure for t-MZVs.

Acknowledgments

The author is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 15K17523, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

[1] D. M. Bradley, Multiple q-zeta values, J. Algebra 283 (2005), 752–798.

[2] M. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, J. Algebra 194 (1997), 477–495.

[3] Y. Ohno, J. Okuda, On the sum formula for the q-analogue of non-strict multiple zeta values, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 3029–3037.

[4] J. Okuda, Y. Takeyama, On relations for the multiple q-zeta values, Ramanujan J. 14 (2007), 379–387.

[5] Y. Takeyama, Quadratic relations for a q-analogue of multiple zeta values, Ramanujan J. 14 (2012), 15–28.

[6] T. Tanaka, N. Wakabayashi, An algebraic proof of the cyclic sum formula for multiple zeta values, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 766–778.

[7] T. Tanaka, N. Wakabayashi, Kawashima's relations for interpolated multiple zeta values, J. Algebra 447 (2016), 424–431.

[8] S. Yamamoto, Interpolation of multiple zeta and zeta-star values, J. Algebra 385 (2013), 102–114.

[9] N. Wakabayashi, Double shuffle and Hoffman's relations for interpolated multiple zeta values, preprint.

[10] J. Zhao, Multiple q-zeta functions and multiple q-polylogarithms, Ramanujan J. 14 (2007), 189–221.